COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT DIVISION ONE October 5, 2009

D055812 McNeil Technologies, Inc. v. The Superior Court of San Diego County/Labastida et al.

The petition is denied.

D055144 In re T.V. et al., Juveniles

The orders relating to visitation and the termination of jurisdiction are reversed and the matter is remanded to the juvenile court for additional proceedings. Nares, J.; We Concur: Huffman, Acting P.J., Aaron, J.

D055714 I.S. et al. v. Superior Court of San Diego County/San Diego County Health and Human Services Agency

The attorneys for petitioners I.S. and Maria S. have notified the court that petitions for writs of mandate under California Rules of Court, rules 8.452 and 5.600 will not be filed as there are no viable issues for writ review. The case is dismissed.

D055975 In re Meyers on Habeas Corpus

DIVISION ONE

October 6, 2009

D054206 Chau v. Starbucks

On September 18, 2009, this court requested simultaneous letter briefs addressing why this appeal should not be dismissed as moot in light of the disposition in *Chau v. Starbucks* (2009) 174 Cal.App.4th 688.

Associate Justices Haller, McDonald and Irion have read and considered the letter briefs filed by the parties on September 29, 2009. The appeal filed by Starbucks Corporation on November 26, 2008, is dismissed as moot. Appellant's request for judicial notice filed on May 4, 2009, is also moot. Each party to bear its costs on appeal.

D055122 People v. Jimenez

The judgment of conviction is affirmed.

Benke, Acting P.J.; We Concur: Huffman, J., McIntyre, J.

D054954 People v. Crawford

The judgment is affirmed. The trial court is directed to resentence Crawford and correct the abstract of judgment in accord with this opinion and forward a certified copy of the amended abstract to the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. O'Rourke, J.; We Concur: Nares, Acting P.J., Aaron, J.

D053933 Wright v. City of San Diego

The judgment is affirmed.

O'Rourke, J.; We Concur: Huffman, Acting P.J., McDonald, J.

D053929 Aronow v. Aronow

The order is affirmed. Respondent is awarded costs on appeal.

McConnell, P.J.; We Concur: Huffman, J., Aaron, J.

D055298 In re Lamont R., a Juvenile

The appeal is dismissed. Benke, Acting P.J.; We Concur: Haller, J., McDonald, J.

D055832 Michael S. v. Superior Court of San Diego County/Summer D.

The petition is denied.

D055856 In re Dodson on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D055800 In re Thomas on Habeas Corpus

October 6, 2009 (Continued)

D055187 Hamzey, Jr. et al. v. California Department of Insurance

Appellant has failed to file a brief after notice given pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.220(a). The appeal is dismissed.

DIVISION ONE

October 7, 2009

D053743 Rivkin v. Kennerson & Grant LLP et al.

The judgment is affirmed. Respondents are awarded costs on appeal. McConnell, P.J.; We Concur: Benke, J., Huffman, J.

D055954 In re Patterson on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D055743 In re Schlappi on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D055471 In re Baby Boy O., a Juvenile

The appeal is dismissed.

Huffman, Acting P.J., We Concur: Haller, J., McDonald, J.

D055496 In re Vaughn on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D053162 Fariba v. Dealer Services Corporation

The judgment is affirmed. Fariba shall recover his costs on appeal. CERTIFIED FOR PUBLICATION. Nares, J.; We Concur: Benke, Acting P.J., Haller, J.

D054917 Gill v. Gagliani

Upon written stipulation filed by the parties to the appeal, the appeal is dismissed and the remittitur is ordered to issue immediately. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.244(c)(2).) Each party to bear own costs on appeal.

D055995 R.J. a Minor v. Superior Court of San Diego County/CIF

The petition is denied.

D054460 United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company et al. v. Novapro Risk Solutions,

Upon written stipulation filed by the parties to the appeal, the appeal is dismissed and the remittitur is ordered to issue immediately. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.244(c)(2).) Each party to bear own costs on appeal.

October 8, 2009

D055212 In re Jazmin G., a Juvenile

The judgment is affirmed. Huffman, Acting P.J.; We Concur: Nares, J., Aaron, J.

D053983 People v. Cachora

The judgment is affirmed. Huffman, J.; We Concur: McConnell, P.J., Haller, J.

D055098 In re L.N., a Juvenile

The orders are affirmed. Nares, J.; We Concur: Benke, Acting P.J., Haller, J.

D054830 In re Calvin P. et al., Juveniles

The order to provide family maintenance services for Father and the children is affirmed. The order to provide family maintenance services for Mother is reversed. The juvenile court is directed to vacate the order requiring family maintenance services for Mother and to order the Agency to implement the June 9, 2009, order that she be provided reasonable reunification services.³

McDonald, J.; We Concur: Benke, Acting P.J., McIntyre, J.

D054355 Pineda v. Williams-Sonoma Stores, Inc.

The judgment is affirmed. The respondent is entitled to recover its costs on appeal. McIntyre, J.; We Concur: Benke, Acting P.J., Huffman, J.

D055691 People v. Bolton et al.

The judgment is modified to delete the findings of the allegation under section 12022.53, subdivision (b) and (e)(1) as to Keel. The trial court is directed to amend the abstract of judgment to reflect the deletion of the enhancement as to Keel and to forward an amended abstract to the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. In all other respects the judgment is affirmed.

Huffman, J.; We Concur: McConnell, P.J., McDonald, J.

D053050 In re the Marriage of Dolansky/Overton

The petition for rehearing is denied.

³ If, at a family maintenance review hearing, the juvenile court determines under section 364, subdivision (c), that conditions no longer exist to justify continued juvenile court jurisdiction, the juvenile court has the authority to terminate Mother's reunification services.

DIVISION ONE

October 8, 2009 (Continued)

D053873 Hermiz et al. v. Brenex, LLC/Asaro

D053873 Hermiz et al. v. Asaro et al.

The judgment is affirmed. Asaro is awarded costs on appeal. Huffman, Acting P.J.; We Concur: McDonald, J., O'Rourke, J.

D055468 People v. Zettel

Upon filing an abandonment of appeal, personally signed by the defendant, the appeal is dismissed and the remittitur is ordered to issue immediately. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.316.)

D056011 Pasulka v. Superior Court of San Diego County/Pasulka et al.

DIVISION ONE October 9, 2009

D054906 In re Peter C., a Juvenile

The orders are affirmed. Aaron, J.; We Concur: McConnell, P.J., O'Rourke, J.

D053997 People v. Matias

The judgment is affirmed. Haller, Acting P.J.; We Concur: McIntyre, J., Irion, J.

D054656 People v. Bolson

The judgment is affirmed. O'Rourke, J.; We Concur: Nares, Acting P.J., Haller, J.

D054084 Betancourt et al. v. A.M. Ortega Construction, Inc.

The order is affirmed. Employees are entitled to costs on appeal. McDonald, J.; We Concur: McConnell, P.J., O'Rourke, J.

D053580 Sycamore Ridge Apartments, LLC v. Naumann et al.

The order is affirmed. Respondents are awarded costs on appeal. McConnell, P.J.; We Concur: McDonald, J., Aaron, J.

D054668 In re H.L. et al., Juveniles

The orders are affirmed. Nares, Acting P.J.; We Concur: McDonald, J., Aaron, J.

D054286 People v. Hardin

The judgment is modified to dismiss count 3 and is affirmed as so modified. The superior court is directed to prepare a new abstract of judgment in accordance herewith and to forward a copy of the amended abstract to the Department of Corrections.

D055524 Hartnett v. San Diego County Office of Education et al.

The letter briefs filed by appellants on October 1, 2009, and respondent on September 25, 2009, in response to this court's September 22, 2009, order have been read and considered by Presiding Justice McConnell and Associate Justices Nares and Haller.

The appeal is dismissed.

Appellants' alternative request to treat the appeal as a writ is denied.

The petition for writ of supersedeas is denied as moot in light of the dismissal of the appeal.

D054501 In re Montgomery on Habeas Corpus

DIVISION ONE

October 9, 2009 (Continued)

D055983 In re Hurlbert on Habeas Corpus

The petition for writ of habeas corpus has been read and considered by Presiding Justice McConnell and Associate Justices Haller and Aaron.

It appears that the issues Grant Hurlbert raises in this petition are cognizable on his direct appeal (D055637). The petition is denied.

The clerk is directed to forward a copy of the petition and this order to the attorney representing Hurlbert in his direct appeal.

D053808 People v. Bleich

The order denying Bleich's petition to seal and/or destroy her arrest records is affirmed. Benke, Acting P.J.; We Concur: McIntyre, J., Irion, J.

D055899 In re Brockus on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D055779 Basurto v. Superior Court of Imperial County/Imperial Irrigation District

The petition is denied.

D055689 In re Durant on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied. The request for appointed counsel is denied.

D055857 In re Thigpenn on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D055948 In re Reams on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D055918 In re Smith on Habeas Corpus